

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/686,120	10/11/2000		Gilbert Ho Yin Tsang	SNY-P3965	SNY-P3965 4933	
24337	7590	11/17/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MILLER P	ATENT S	SERVICES	SALTARELLI, DOMINIC D			
2500 DOCK	ERY LAN	NE				
RALEIGH, NC 27606				, ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2611		

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/686,120	TSANG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dominic D. Saltarelli	2611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Oc	ctober 2005.	•					
•	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	,—						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 Q.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37 and 58-91</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37 and 58-91</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	· ·						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau		. ·					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	a.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments, see pages 1-3, filed October 28, 2005, with respect to the finality of the previous office action have been fully considered and are persuasive. The finality of the previous office action has been withdrawn.
- 2. Regarding the use of 10 character ASCII strings, a feature previously traversed by applicant, examiner submits Aoyagi et al. (4,751,674), who teaches it is well known to name objects with 10 character strings (col. 5, lines 29-44).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5, 12-15, 17-19, 21, 27-30, 32, 58-60, 62, 74-78, 80, and 87-90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lim et al. (5,884,024, of record) [Lim] in view of DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak (6,006,257, of record).

Regarding claims 1, 17, 58, 76, and 90, Lim discloses a client system (fig. 1, client system 102, col. 4, lines 47-54) configured with a modem (fig. 1, cable modem 104) and a Main Circuit Board (an inherent feature of computer based client systems), wherein the Main Circuit Board is connected to the modem via an interconnection (as shown by the interconnection between 102 and 104 in fig.

1), wherein the Main Circuit Board determines the IP address of the modem (also

Art Unit: 2611

an inherent feature, as a client device connected to the modem needs the modem's IP address in order to use the modem to communicate over the Internet), wherein, at the modem:

Lim fails to disclose periodically broadcasting a Discovery Packet over the interconnection from the modem to the Main Circuit Board by addressing the Discovery Packet to a broadcast address that can be received by the Main Circuit Board, the Discovery Packet comprising the IP address of the modem in order to permit the Main Circuit Board to learn the modem's IP address and monitoring the interconnection for receipt of broadcast Discovery Packets addressed to the broadcast address and receiving the broadcast Discovery Packet addressed to the broadcast address at the Main Circuit Board from the modem over the interconnection and ascertaining the IP address of the modem from the broadcast Discovery Packet, and wherein the client system is a set top box.

In an analogous art, DOCSIS teaches a standardized format for enabling communications between a cable modem and customer premises equipment (page 9, section 1.1), wherein adherence to said standard is to include in the cable modem an internal DHCP server (see fig. 3-3), wherein the existence of an internal DHCP server within the modem is used for reference and informational purposes regarding the IP address of the modem (see fig. 3-1 and table 3-1).

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system disclosed by Lim to include an internal DHCP server in

Art Unit: 2611

the modem, as taught by DOCSIS, for the benefit of conforming to the established DOCSIS standard for cable modem communications.

Lim and DOCSIS fail to disclose **periodically** broadcasting a Discovery Packet over the interconnection from the modem to the Main Circuit Board by addressing the Discovery Packet to a broadcast address that can be received by the Main Circuit Board, the Discovery Packet comprising the IP address of the modem in order to permit the Main Circuit Board to learn the modem's IP address and monitoring the interconnection for receipt of broadcast Discovery Packets addressed to the broadcast address and receiving the broadcast Discovery Packet addressed to the broadcast address at the Main Circuit Board from the modem over the interconnection and ascertaining the IP address of the modem from the broadcast Discovery Packet, and wherein the client system is a set top box.

In an analogous art, DHCP teaches allocation of IP addresses to clients (page 12, section 2.2) involves 'leasing' an IP address for a finite period of time (page 20, section 3.3), wherein there is a periodic exchange of messages between the server and client during the RENEWING state of an IP lease (page 32, DHCPREQUEST generated during a RENEWING state, and page 35, fig. 5, which shows the periodic exchange of DHCPREQUEST and DHCPACK messages between a client and server, see also page 40, section 4.4.5), wherein the DHCPACK messages contain the IP address being leased (in the yiaddr field, as shown on page 28), and the DHCPACK message is utilized by the client

Art Unit: 2611

to learn the IP address (for renewing the lease). The DHCP specification suggests that DHCP should be used to reacquire or verify IP addresses and network parameters in local networks, as local network configurations may change without the client's or user's knowledge (page 22, section 3.7).

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system disclosed by Lim and DOCSIS to include periodically broadcasting a Discovery Packet [DHCPACK] over the interconnection from the modem [internal DHCP server] to the Main Circuit Board [CPE] by addressing the Discovery Packet to a broadcast address that can be received by the Main Circuit Board (the message is addressed to the client), the Discovery Packet comprising the IP address of the modem in order to permit the Main Circuit Board to learn the modem's IP address and monitoring the interconnection for receipt of broadcast Discovery Packets addressed to the broadcast address and receiving the broadcast Discovery Packet addressed to the broadcast address at the Main Circuit Board from the modem over the interconnection and ascertaining the IP address of the modem from the broadcast Discovery Packet, as taught by DHCP, for the benefit of verifing IP addresses in local networks, as local network configurations may change without the client's or user's knowledge.

Lim, DOCSIS, and DHCP fail to disclose the client system is a set top box.

In an analogous art, Slezak teaches connecting a set top box to a cable modem, providing Internet access and specialized content to a user via their television (col. 4 line 65 – col. 5 line 10).

Art Unit: 2611

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system disclosed by Lim, DOCSIS, and DHCP to interconnect the modem to a set top box, as taught by Slezak, for the benefit of providing Internet access and specialized content to a user via their television, enhancing functionality to their television systems.

Regarding claims 2, 3, 5, 18, 19, 21, 59, 60, 62, 77, 78, and 80, Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak disclose the method and apparatus of claims 1, 17, 58, and 76, but fail to disclose the authentication code in the Discovery Packet (xid field in the exchanged messages, page 10 of DHCP), wherein the Main Circuit Board, upon receiving the Discovery Packet, inspects the authentication code to assure that the IP address in the Discovery Packet originated at the modem.

Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak fail to disclose the authentication code comprises a 10 character ASCII string.

Examiner takes official notice that the use of 10 character ASCII strings is notoriously well known in the art.

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system disclosed by Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak to include the authentication code comprises a 10 character ASCII string.

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claims 12, 27, and 87, Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak disclose the method and apparatus of claims 1, 17, and 76, but fail to disclose the Discovery Packet is transmitted approximately every 30 seconds.

Examiner takes official notice that it is notoriously well known in the art to perform periodic broadcasts of information every 30 seconds, as this is a convenient time frame for periodic broadcasts.

it would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method and apparatus disclosed by Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak to transmit the Discovery Packet approximately every 30 seconds, for the benefit of performing the periodic broadcast in a convenient time frame.

Regarding claims 13, 28, and 88, Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak disclose the method and apparatus of claims 1, 17, and 76, wherein the modem is a cable modem (Lim, cable modem 104 in fig. 1).

Regarding claims 14 and 29, Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak disclose the method and apparatus of claims 1 and 17, wherein the modem further includes a processor that controls functions of the modem and wherein the processor is a device separate from any processor device residing on the Main Circuit Board (an inherent feature, as the modem is a separate, external device to the client system to which it is connected, see Lim, fig. 1).

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claims 15, 74, and 89, Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak disclose the method and apparatus of claims 1, 58, and 76 wherein the Discovery Packet is communicated using User Datagram Protocol (DOCSIS, fig. 3-3, wherein signals sent from the internal DHCP server of the modem first passes through a UDP stack before being passed along to the host CPE).

Regarding claims 30 and 75, Lim DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak disclose the apparatus of claims 17 and 58, wherein the interconnection is a USB interconnection (DOCSIS, fig. 3-3).

Regarding claim 32, Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak disclose the apparatus of claim 17, wherein the means for periodically transmitting a Discovery Packet inherently includes the use of a programmed processor in the modem, as there are no other means available by which packets may be processed and transmitted that do not include programmed processors.

4. Claims 7-10, 23-26, 64, 65, and 82-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak as applied to claims 1, 17, 58, and 80 above, and further in view of Koperda (5,790,806, of record).

Regarding claims 7, 23, and 64, Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak disclose the method and apparatus of claims 1,17, and 58, but fail to disclose the

Art Unit: 2611

Discovery Packet further comprises a status code indicative of the running status of the modem.

In an analogous art, Koperda teaches providing status information from a modem to a main circuit (col. 12, lines 13-19), for the benefit of allowing the main circuit to monitor the health and status of the modem.

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method and apparatus disclosed by Lim and Slezak to include a status code indicative of the running status of the modem, as taught by Koperda, for the benefit of allowing the main circuit to monitor the health and status of the modem for enhanced reliability and troubleshooting purposes.

Regarding claims 9, 25, and 26, Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, and Koperda disclose the method and apparatus of claims 7 and 23, but fail to disclose the status code comprises a four-byte integer code representing the running status of the modem.

Examiner takes official notice that it is notoriously well known in the art to utilize 4 byte integers as codes within packets, as this represents a very large number of possible unique codes, providing a very comprehensive selection of codes for transmitting information.

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method and apparatus disclosed by Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, and Koperda to utilize four byte integer codes representing the running

Art Unit: 2611

status of the modem, for the benefit of having a wide range of possible status codes available and allowing for very comprehensive reporting of status information.

Regarding claims 8, 10, 24, and 65, Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, and Koperda disclose the method and apparatus of claims 7, 9, 23, and 64, but fail to disclose the status code is encrypted.

The official notice taken that it is notoriously well known in the art to encrypt data packets to enhance security taken by the by examiner was not successfully traversed by the applicant, and is thus taken by an admission of the fact presented.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method and apparatus of Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, and Koperda to encrypt the status code, for the benefit of enhancing security.

Regarding claim 82, Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak disclose the apparatus of claim 80, but fail to disclose the Discovery Packet further comprises a status code indicative of the running status of the modem.

In an analogous art, Koperda teaches providing status information from a modem to a main circuit (col. 12, lines 13-19), for the benefit of allowing the main circuit to monitor the health and status of the modem.

Art Unit: 2611

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method and apparatus disclosed by Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak to include a status code indicative of the running status of the modem, as taught by Koperda, for the benefit of allowing the main circuit to monitor the health and status of the modem for enhanced reliability and troubleshooting purposes.

Regarding claim 83, Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, and Koperda disclose the apparatus of claim 82, but fail to disclose the status code is encrypted.

The official notice taken that it is notoriously well known in the art to encrypt data packets to enhance security taken by the by examiner was not successfully traversed by the applicant, and is thus taken by an admission of the fact presented.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method and apparatus of Lim, Slezak, Caputo, and Koperda to encrypt the status code, for the benefit of enhancing security.

Regarding claim 84, Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, and Koperda disclose the apparatus of claim 82, but fail to disclose the status code comprises a four byte integer code representing the running status of the modem.

Examiner takes official notice that it is notoriously well known in the art to utilize 4 byte integers as codes within packets, as this represents a very large

Art Unit: 2611

number of possible unique codes, providing a very comprehensive selection of codes for transmitting information.

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method and apparatus disclosed by Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, and Koperda to utilize four byte integer codes representing the running status of the modem, for the benefit of having a wide range of possible status codes available and allowing for very comprehensive reporting of status information.

Regarding claim 85, Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, and Koperda disclose the apparatus of claim 84, but fail to disclose the status code is encrypted.

The official notice taken that it is notoriously well known in the art to encrypt data packets to enhance security taken by the by examiner was not successfully traversed by the applicant, and is thus taken by an admission of the fact presented.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method and apparatus of Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, and Koperda to encrypt the status code, for the benefit of enhancing security.

Art Unit: 2611

5. Claims 11 and 86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, and Koperda as applied to claims 7 and 82 above, and further in view of Rahamim et al. (5,764,694, of record) [Rahamim].

Regarding claim 11, Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, and Koperda disclose the method of claim 7, but fail to disclose displaying a status of the modem on a display connected to the Main Circuit Board.

In an analogous art, Rahamim teaches displaying the status of a modem on a display connected to the host computer (col. 4, lines 15-27), for the benefit of providing feedback to a user regarding the status of the modem.

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method disclosed by Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, and Koperda to include displaying a status of the modem on a display connected to the Main Circuit Board, as taught by Rahamim, for the benefit of providing feedback to a user regarding the status of the modem, alerting the user of proper operation and any errors that may occur.

Regarding claim 86, Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, and Koperda disclose the apparatus of claim 82, but fail to disclose displaying a status of the modem on a display connected to the Main Circuit Board.

In an analogous art, Rahamim teaches displaying the status of a modem on a display connected to the host computer (col. 4, lines 15-27), for the benefit of providing feedback to a user regarding the status of the modem.

Art Unit: 2611

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method disclosed by Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, and Koperda to include displaying a status of the modem on a display connected to the Main Circuit Board, as taught by Rahamim, for the benefit of providing feedback to a user regarding the status of the modem, alerting the user of proper operation and any errors that may occur.

6. Claims 4, 6, 16, 20, 22, 61, 63, 79, and 81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak as applied to claims 2, 3, 5, 19, 21, 59, 62, 77, and 80 above, and further in view of Blumenau et al. (US 2003/0115324 A1, of record) [Blumenau].

Regarding claims 4, 6, 20, 22, 61, 63, 79, and 81, Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak disclose the method and apparatus of claims 3, 5, 19, 21, 59, 62, 77, and 80, but fail to disclose the authentication code is encrypted.

In an analogous art, Blumenau teaches encrypting authentication codes to enhance security (paragraph 52).

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method and apparatus of Lim, Slezak, and Caputo to encrypt the authentication code, for the benefit of enhancing security.

Regarding claim 16, Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak disclose the method of claim 2, wherein said cable modem is a network device, wherein said

Art Unit: 2611

network device periodically broadcasts a Discovery Packet over an interconnection from the network device to the Main Circuit Board, by addressing the Discovery Packet to the broadcast address (as described regarding claim 1), the Discovery Packet comprising the IP address of the network device (for discovery of said IP address) and a signature of the network device (transmissions contain at least an identifier of the sender as described in DHCP, page 28, regarding the DCHPACK message format), and at the Main Circuit Board, monitoring the interconnection for broadcast Discovery Packets addressed to the broadcast address, receiving the broadcast Discovery Packet addressed to the Main Circuit Board from the network device (as described regarding claim 1) and ascertaining the IP address of the network device from the broadcast Discovery Packet (as described regarding claim 1).

Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak fail to disclose authenticating the network device from the network device's signature.

In an analogous art, Blumenau teaches authenticating devices that connect to a second device through the use of signatures (expected identifiers which assure the identities of requesting hosts, paragraph 52), enhancing the security of a system.

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method disclosed by Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak to include authenticating the network device from the network device's signature, as taught by Blumenau, for the benefit of enhancing security.

Art Unit: 2611

7. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak as applied to claim 17 above, and further in view of Rahamim.

Regarding claim 31, Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak disclose the apparatus of claim 17, but fail to disclose displaying a status of the modem on a display connected to the Main Circuit Board.

In an analogous art, Rahamim teaches displaying the status of a modem on a display connected to the host computer (col. 4, lines 15-27), for the benefit of providing feedback to a user regarding the status of the modem.

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus disclosed by Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak to include displaying a status of the modem on a display connected to the Main Circuit Board, as taught by Rahamim, for the benefit of providing feedback to a user regarding the status of the modem, alerting the user of proper operation and any errors that may occur.

8. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of Lee (6,005,937, of record) and Blumenau.

Regarding claim 33, Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak disclose the apparatus of claim 18, but fail to disclose the apparatus further comprises a

Art Unit: 2611

network device interconnected with the Main Circuit Board using an interconnection; means, residing within said network device for periodically transmitting a Discovery Packet from said network device to said Main Circuit Board over said interconnection by addressing the Discovery Packet to a broadcast address monitored by the Main Circuit Board; and wherein the Discovery Packet comprises at least an IP address of the network device and a signature, wherein the Main Circuit Board distinguishes between the modem and the network device by the signature.

In an analogous art, Lee teaches incorporating plural modules into a set top box (col. 4, lines 52-65) including a separately addressable network interface module (fig. 1, data communication module 170, col. 5, lines 40-61) interconnected with the Main Circuit Board (fig. 1, control PCB 110) for enhanced flexibility.

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus disclosed by Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak to include a separately addressable network device interconnected with the Main Circuit Board for increasing the flexibility of the set top box by allowing it to connect to another type of network using the network device. The device is separately addressable, and thus has it's own IP address, and conforms to the same form of IP address notification as the modem.

Art Unit: 2611

Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, and Lee fail to disclose the Main Circuit Board distinguishes between the modem and the network device by the signature.

In an analogous art, Blumenau teaches using identifier information to verify individual devices and discriminate between them (paragraphs 51 and 52) to enhance security.

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus disclosed by Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, and Lee to include distinguishing between the modem and network device by the signatures provided by each, as taught by Blumenau, for the benefit of enhancing security, for example, by preventing one device from copying another to redirect information in any unauthorized manner.

9. Claims 34-37, and 91 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lim in view of DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, DeBettencourt, Caputo (5,675,831, of record), Blumenau, Koperda, Bernardini, and Rahamim.

Regarding claims 34 and 91, Lim discloses a client system (fig. 1, client system 102, col. 4, lines 47-54) configured with a modem (fig. 1, cable modem 104) and a Main Circuit Board having a first processor (an inherent feature of computer based client systems), and interconnected to the modem via an interconnection (as shown by the interconnection between 102 and 104 in fig. 1), wherein the Main Circuit Board monitors the interconnection for packets

Art Unit: 2611

addressed to a broadcast address (also inherent, else the Main Circuit Board would not receive packets addressed to it);

a second programmed processor, residing within said modem (an inherent feature, as the modem is an external modem, and thus requires its own processor and memory resources).

Lim fails to disclose the second processor transmits a Discovery Packet from said modem to the broadcast address monitored by said Main Circuit Board via said interconnection, wherein the Discovery Packet comprises an IP address of the modem at intervals, client system is a set top box, the intervals are approximately 30 seconds, the Discovery Packet includes an encrypted ten character ASCII authentication code, the Main Circuit Board inspects the authentication code to assure that the IP address in the Discovery Packet originated at the modem, the Discovery Packet further comprises a four byte integer status code indicative of a running status of the modem, and a display, coupled to the Main Circuit Board, displaying the status of the modem determined by the Main Circuit Board by reading the four byte integer status code of the Discovery Packet.

In an analogous art, DOCSIS teaches a standardized format for enabling communications between a cable modem and customer premises equipment (page 9, section 1.1), wherein adherence to said standard is to include in the cable modem an internal DHCP server (see fig. 3-3), wherein the existence of an

Art Unit: 2611

internal DHCP server within the modem is used for reference and informational purposes regarding the IP address of the modem (see fig. 3-1 and table 3-1).

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system disclosed by Lim to include an internal DHCP server in the modem, as taught by DOCSIS, for the benefit of conforming to the established DOCSIS standard for cable modem communications.

Lim and DOCSIS fail to disclose the second processor transmits a
Discovery Packet from said modem to the broadcast address monitored by said
Main Circuit Board via said interconnection, wherein the Discovery Packet
comprises an IP address of the modem at intervals, client system is a set top
box, the intervals are approximately 30 seconds, the Discovery Packet includes
an encrypted ten character ASCII authentication code, the Main Circuit Board
inspects the authentication code to assure that the IP address in the Discovery
Packet originated at the modem, the Discovery Packet further comprises a four
byte integer status code indicative of a running status of the modem, and a
display, coupled to the Main Circuit Board, displaying the status of the modem
determined by the Main Circuit Board by reading the four byte integer status
code of the Discovery Packet.

In an analogous art, DHCP teaches allocation of IP addresses to clients (page 12, section 2.2) involves 'leasing' an IP address for a finite period of time (page 20, section 3.3), wherein there is a periodic exchange of messages between the server and client during the RENEWING state of an IP lease (page

Art Unit: 2611

32, DHCPREQUEST generated during a RENEWING state, and page 35, fig. 5, which shows the periodic exchange of DHCPREQUEST and DHCPACK messages between a client and server, see also page 40, section 4.4.5), wherein the DHCPACK messages contain the IP address being leased (in the yiaddr field, as shown on page 28), and the DHCPACK message is utilized by the client to learn the IP address (for renewing the lease). The DHCP specification suggests that DHCP should be used to reacquire or verify IP addresses and network parameters in local networks, as local network configurations may change without the client's or user's knowledge (page 22, section 3.7).

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system disclosed by Lim and DOCSIS to include transmitting a Discovery Packet [DHCPACK] from said modem [the internal DHCP server] to the broadcast address monitored by said Main Circuit Board via said interconnection, wherein the Discovery Packet comprises an IP address of the modem at intervals, as taught by DHCP, for the benefit of verifying IP addresses in local networks, as local network configurations may change without the client's or user's knowledge.

Lim, DOCSIS, and DHCP fail to disclose the client system is a set top box, the intervals are approximately 30 seconds, the Discovery Packet includes an encrypted ten character ASCII authentication code, the Main Circuit Board inspects the authentication code to assure that the IP address in the Discovery Packet originated at the modem, the Discovery Packet further comprises a four

Art Unit: 2611

byte integer status code indicative of a running status of the modem, and a display, coupled to the Main Circuit Board, displaying the status of the modem determined by the Main Circuit Board by reading the four byte integer status code of the Discovery Packet.

In an analogous art, Slezak teaches connecting a set top box to a cable modem, providing Internet access and specialized content to a user via their television (col. 4 line 65 – col. 5 line 10).

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system disclosed by Lim, DOCSIS, and DHCP to interconnect the modem to a set top box, as taught by Slezak, for the benefit of providing Internet access and specialized content to a user via their television, enhancing functionality to their television systems.

Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak fail to disclose the intervals are approximately 30 seconds, the Discovery Packet includes an encrypted ten character ASCII authentication code, the Main Circuit Board inspects the authentication code to assure that the IP address in the Discovery Packet originated at the modem, the Discovery Packet further comprises a four byte integer status code indicative of a running status of the modem, and a display, coupled to the Main Circuit Board, displaying the status of the modem determined by the Main Circuit Board by reading the four byte integer status code of the Discovery Packet.

Art Unit: 2611

In an analogous art, DeBettencourt, teaches that it is well known to periodically broadcast information every 30 seconds (col. 10, lines 6-8).

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus disclosed by Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak to transmit the Discovery Packet approximately every 30 seconds, as taught by DeBettencourt, for the benefit of performing the periodic broadcast in a convenient time frame.

Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, and DeBettencourt fail to disclose the Discovery Packet includes an encrypted ten character ASCII authentication code, the Main Circuit Board inspects the authentication code to assure that the IP address in the Discovery Packet originated at the modem, the Discovery Packet further comprises a four byte integer status code indicative of a running status of the modem, and a display, coupled to the Main Circuit Board, displaying the status of the modem determined by the Main Circuit Board by reading the four byte integer status code of the Discovery Packet.

In an analogous art, Caputo teaches naming modems using a unique string of characters, wherein said string would consist of 10 characters at the discretion of the developer, allowing a modem to identify itself to connected devices, col. 9, lines 21-32.

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus disclosed by Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, and DeBettencourt to associate a unique string of characters with the modem with

Art Unit: 2611

which the modem would identify itself, as taught by Caputo, wherein the string would be 10 characters long when the developer decides to make it so, and this string would be included in the Discovery Packet so as to identify the modem to the Set Top Box said modem is in communication with.

Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, DeBettencourt, and Caputo fail to disclose encrypting the ten character ASCII authentication code, and the Discovery Packet further comprises a four byte integer status code indicative of a running status of the modem, and a display, coupled to the Main Circuit Board, displaying the status of the modem determined by the Main Circuit Board by reading the four byte integer status code of the Discovery Packet.

In an analogous art, Blumenau teaches encrypting authentication codes to enhance security (paragraph 52).

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, DeBettencourt, and Caputo to encrypt the authentication code, for the benefit of enhancing security.

Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, DeBettencourt, Caputo, and Blumenau fail to disclose the Discovery Packet further comprises a four byte integer status code indicative of a running status of the modem, and a display, coupled to the Main Circuit Board, displaying the status of the modem determined by the Main Circuit Board by reading the four byte integer status code of the Discovery Packet.

Art Unit: 2611

In an analogous art, Koperda teaches providing status information from a modem to a main circuit (col. 12, lines 13-19), for the benefit of allowing the main circuit to monitor the health and status of the modem.

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus disclosed by Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, DeBettencourt, Caputo, and Blumenau to include a status code indicative of the running status of the modem, as taught by Koperda, for the benefit of allowing the main circuit to monitor the health and status of the modem for enhanced reliability and troubleshooting purposes.

Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, DeBettencourt, Caputo, Blumenau, and Koperda fail to disclose the status code is a four byte integer, and a display, coupled to the Main Circuit Board, displaying the status of the modem determined by the Main Circuit Board by reading the four byte integer status code of the Discovery Packet.

In an analogous art, Bernardini, teaches that it is well known to utilize 4 byte integers as codes (col. 5, lines 13-15).

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus disclosed by Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, DeBettencourt, Caputo, Blumenau, and Koperda to utilize four byte integer codes representing the running status of the modem, for the benefit of having a wide range of possible status codes available and allowing for very comprehensive reporting of status information.

Art Unit: 2611

Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, DeBettencourt, Caputo, Blumenau, Koperda, and Bernardini fail to disclose a display, coupled to the Main Circuit Board, displaying the status of the modern determined by the Main Circuit Board by reading the four byte integer status code of the Discovery Packet.

In an analogous art, Rahamim teaches displaying the status of a modem on a display connected to the host computer (col. 4, lines 15-27), for the benefit of providing feedback to a user regarding the status of the modem.

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus disclosed by Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, DeBettencourt, Caputo, Blumenau, Koperda, and Bernardini to include displaying a status of the modem on a display connected to the Main Circuit Board, as taught by Rahamim, for the benefit of providing feedback to a user regarding the status of the modem, alerting the user of proper operation and any errors that may occur.

Regarding claim 35, Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, DeBettencourt, Caputo, Blumenau, Koperda, Bernardini, and Rahamim disclose the apparatus of claim 34, wherein the modem is a cable modem (Lim, fig. 1, cable modem 104).

Regarding claim 36, Lim, Slezak, DeBettencourt, Caputo, Blumenau, Koperda, Bernardini, and Rahamim disclose the apparatus of claim 34, wherein the Discovery Packet uses User Datagram Protocol (DOCSIS, fig. 3-3, wherein

Art Unit: 2611

signals sent from the internal DHCP server of the modem first passes through a UDP stack before being passed along to the host CPE).

Regarding claim 37, Caputo additionally discloses using PCI bus interconnections (col. 4, lines 38-56) to connect an external modem to a computer.

10. Claims 66, 72, and 73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lim in view of DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, and Lee.

Regarding claim 66, Lim discloses a client system (fig. 1, client system 102, col. 4, lines 47-54) configured with a first managed component (fig. 1, cable modem 104) and a Main Circuit Board (an inherent feature of computer based client systems), connected to the first managed component via an interconnection (as shown by the interconnection between 102 and 104 in fig. 1);

Lim fails to disclose the means, residing within the first managed component, for periodically transmitting a Discovery Packet to the Main Circuit Board by addressing the Discovery Packet to a broadcast address monitored by said Main Circuit Board via the interconnection wherein the Discovery Packet comprises the IP address of the managed component from which is was transmitted in order to permit the Main Circuit Board to learn the managed component's IP address, and the client system is a set top box that also includes

Art Unit: 2611

a second managed component which also periodically transmits a Discovery Packet containing the second managed component's IP address.

In an analogous art, DOCSIS teaches a standardized format for enabling communications between a cable modem and customer premises equipment (page 9, section 1.1), wherein adherence to said standard is to include in the cable modem an internal DHCP server (see fig. 3-3), wherein the existence of an internal DHCP server within the modem is used for reference and informational purposes regarding the IP address of the modem (see fig. 3-1 and table 3-1).

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system disclosed by Lim to include an internal DHCP server in the modem, as taught by DOCSIS, for the benefit of conforming to the established DOCSIS standard for cable modem communications.

Lim and DOCSIS fail to disclose the internal DHCP server means, residing within the first managed component, periodically transmits a Discovery Packet to the Main Circuit Board by addressing the Discovery Packet to a broadcast address monitored by said Main Circuit Board via the interconnection wherein the Discovery Packet comprises the IP address of the managed component from which is was transmitted in order to permit the Main Circuit Board to learn the managed component's IP address, and the client system is a set top box that also includes a second managed component which also periodically transmits a Discovery Packet containing the second managed component's IP address.

Art Unit: 2611

In an analogous art, DHCP teaches allocation of IP addresses to clients (page 12, section 2.2) involves 'leasing' an IP address for a finite period of time (page 20, section 3.3), wherein there is a periodic exchange of messages between the server and client during the RENEWING state of an IP lease (page 32, DHCPREQUEST generated during a RENEWING state, and page 35, fig. 5, which shows the periodic exchange of DHCPREQUEST and DHCPACK messages between a client and server, see also page 40, section 4.4.5), wherein the DHCPACK messages contain the IP address being leased (in the yiaddr field, as shown on page 28), and the DHCPACK message is utilized by the client to learn the IP address (for renewing the lease). The DHCP specification suggests that DHCP should be used to reacquire or verify IP addresses and network parameters in local networks, as local network configurations may change without the client's or user's knowledge (page 22, section 3.7).

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system disclosed by Lim and DOCSIS to include performing by the internal DHCP server means, residing within the first managed component, periodic transmission of a Discovery Packet [DHCPACK] to the Main Circuit Board by addressing the Discovery Packet to a broadcast address monitored by said Main Circuit Board via the interconnection wherein the Discovery Packet comprises the IP address of the managed component from which is was transmitted in order to permit the Main Circuit Board to learn the managed component's IP address, as taught by DHCP, for the benefit of verifying IP

Art Unit: 2611

addresses in local networks, as local network configurations may change without the client's or user's knowledge.

Lim, DOCSIS, and DHCP fail to disclose the client system is a set top box that also includes a second managed component which also periodically transmits a Discovery Packet containing the second managed component's IP address.

In an analogous art, Slezak teaches connecting a set top box to a cable modern, providing Internet access and specialized content to a user via their television (col. 4 line 65 – col. 5 line 10).

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus disclosed by Lim, DOCSIS, and DHCP to interconnect the first managed component to a set top box, as taught by Slezak, for the benefit of providing Internet access and specialized content to a user via their television, enhancing functionality to their television systems.

In an analogous art, Lee teaches incorporating plural modules into a set top box (col. 4, lines 52-65) including a separately addressable network interface module (fig. 1, data communication module 170, col. 5, lines 40-61) interconnected with the Main Circuit Board (fig. 1, control PCB 110) for enhanced flexibility.

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus disclosed by Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, and Slezak to include a second separately addressable network device interconnected with the

Art Unit: 2611

Main Circuit Board for increasing the flexibility of the set top box by allowing it to connect to another type of network using the network device. The device is separately addressable, and thus has it's own IP address, and beneficially conforms to the same form of IP address notification as the modem.

Regarding claim 72, Lim, DOCSIS, DCHP, Slezak, and Lee disclose the apparatus of caim 66, wherein the Discovery Packet uses User Datagram Protocol (DOCSIS, fig. 3-3, wherein signals sent from the internal DHCP server of the modern first passes through a UDP stack before being passed along to the host CPE).

Regarding claim 73, Lim, Slezak, and Lee disclose the apparatus of claim 66, but fail to disclose the interconnection is a USB interconnection (DOCSIS, fig. 3-3).

11. Claims 67 and 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, and Lee as applied to claim 66 above, and further in view of Caputo.

Regarding claims 67 and 68, Lim, Slezak, and Lee disclose the apparatus of claim 66, but fail to disclose the Discovery Packet comprises a multiple character ASCII string authentication code which the Main Circuit Board inspects

Art Unit: 2611

to determine which of the first and second managed components that the IP address in the Discovery Packet corresponds to.

In an analogous art, Caputo teaches naming modems using a unique string of characters, allowing a modem to identify itself to connected devices (col. 9, lines 21-32).

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus disclosed by Lim, Slezak, and Lee to associate a unique string of characters with each of the managed components would identify each managed component, as taught by Caputo, and this string would be included in the Discovery Packet so as to identify the managed component to the Set Top Box said managed component is in communication with.

12. Claim 69 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, Lee, and Caputo as applied to claim 67 above, and further in view of Blumenau.

Regarding claim 69, Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, Lee, and Caputo disclose the apparatus of claim 67, but fail to disclose the authentication code is encrypted.

In an analogous art, Blumenau teaches encrypting authentication codes to enhance security (paragraph 52).

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, Lee, and Caputo to

Art Unit: 2611

encrypt the authentication code, as taught by Blumenau, for the benefit of enhancing security.

13. Claims 70 and 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, Lee, Caputo, and Blumenau as applied to claim 69 above, and further in view of Koperda.

Regarding claim 70, Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, Lee, Caputo, and Blumenau disclose the apparatus of claim 69, but fail to disclose the Discovery Packet further comprises a status code indicative of the running status of the managed component.

In an analogous art, Koperda teaches providing status information from a modem to a main circuit (col. 12, lines 13-19), for the benefit of allowing the main circuit to monitor the health and status of the modem.

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus disclosed by Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, Lee, Caputo, and Blumenau to include a status code indicative of the running status of the managed component, as taught by Koperda, for the benefit of allowing the main circuit to monitor the health and status of the modem for enhanced reliability and troubleshooting purposes.

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 71, Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, Lee, Caputo,
Blumenau, and Koperda disclose the apparatus of claim 70, but fail to disclose
the status code is encrypted.

The official notice taken that it is notoriously well known in the art to encrypt data packets to enhance security was not successfully traversed by the applicant and is thus taken as an admission of the fact presented.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Lim, DOCSIS, DHCP, Slezak, Lee, Caputo, Blumenau, and Koperda to encrypt the status code, for the benefit of enhancing security.

Conclusion

The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Art Unit: 2611

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
on (Date)
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:
Signature:
Certificate of Transmission
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703) on (Date)
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dominic D. Saltarelli whose telephone number is (571) 272-7302. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Grant can be reached on (571) 272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dominic Saltarelli Patent Examiner Art Unit 2611

DS

CHRISTOPHER GRANT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600